



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/071,945	02/05/2002	Max Schireson	ORCL-2000-136-01	3623

7590 12/13/2005
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP
Third Floor
Two North Market Street
San Jose, CA 95113

EXAMINER

CHEUNG, MARY DA ZHI WANG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3621

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/071,945

Applicant(s)

SCHIRESON, MAX

Examiner

Mary Cheung

Art Unit

3621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. This action is in response to the RCE filed on September 22, 2005. Claims 1-21 are pending. Claims 1-21 are amended.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed September 22, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Bezo (U. S. Patent 6,029,141) does not teach "invoking a web page editor having a graphical user interface for editing the Web page" as claimed in the independent claims 1, 8, 15. Examiner respectfully disagrees because this matter is taught by Bezo as allowing the associate editing product information via web browser (column 9 lines 5-21, 54-67 and Figs. 1-2). In addition, examiner would like to point out that this limitation is disclosed in claims 1 and 8, but not claim 15.

In response to the applicant's arguments that Bezo fails to teach "editing the item on the Web page", examiner believes Bezo teaches this matter by allowing the associate to edit product information with the catalog/web page (column 9 lines 5-21 and column 11 lines 34-36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-5, 7-12, 14-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bezo et al., U. S. Patent 6,029,141 in view of Dabney et al., U. S. Patent 6,643,663.

As to claims 1, 8 and 15, Bezo teaches a method, an apparatus, and a computer readable media having computer readable instructions for implementing an e-commerce storefront management user interface to enable efficient updating of the Web pages of the storefront, comprising (column 8 line 49 – column 9 line 21):

- a) Accessing a Web page out of a plurality of Web pages of an e-commerce Web site (column 8 line 49 – column 9 line 21);
- b) Submitting log in information to the Web site (Appendix A of column 16 – column 17);
- c) Invoking a web page editor having a graphic user interface for editing the Web page (column 9 lines 5-21, 54-67 and Figs. 1-2);
- d) Selecting an item on the Web page to modify (column 8 line 49 – column 9 line 21);
- e) Editing the item on the Web page (column 8 line 49 – column 9 line 21 and column 11 lines 34-36 and Figs. 1-2, 5);
- f) Submitting the edited item to the Web site (column 8 line 49 – column 9 line 21);
- g) Receiving an updated version of the Web page to view (column 8 line 49 – column 9 line 21).

Bezo does not specifically teach verifying the edited item. However, Dabney teaches receiving an updated version of content and verify the edit item (column 5 lines 31-43). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow Bezo's teaching to include verifying the edited item as enunciated by Dabney for ensuring the quality of the edited item.

As to claims 2, 9 and 16, Bezo teaches logging into the Web site with an authentication to obtain privileges for modifying Web pages of the Web site (Appendix A of column 16 – column 17).

As to claims 3, 10 and 17, Bezo modified by Dabney teaches receiving updated version of the Web page to view and verify the edit item as discussed above. Bezo modified by Dabney does not specifically teach logging out the Web site prior to receiving the updated version of the Web page. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow the teaching of Bezo modified by Dabney to include the feature of logging out the Web site prior to receiving the updated version of the Web page for reducing the conflict between the modification and updating of the web content.

As to claims 4, 11 and 18, Bezo teaches the steps the management user interface is implemented with a web browser (column 9 lines 5-21, 54-67 and Figs. 1-2).

As to claims 5, 12 and 19, Bezo modified by Dabney teaches viewing the updated version of the Web page using a web browser on a client machine to verify the appearance of the edited item as discussed above (see claims 1, 4, 8, 11, 15 and 18 above). Bezo modified by Dabney does not specifically teach the appearance being the same as the appearance to a standard user accessing the updated version of the Web

Art Unit: 3621

page. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow Bezo modified by Dabney to include the feature of verifying the verifying the appearance of the edited item being the same as the appearance to a standard user accessing the updated version of the Web page for ensuring the quality of the edited item.

As to claims 7, 14 and 21, Bezo does not specifically teach generating workflow notification to request an approval of the updated version of the Web page, wherein the updated version of the Web page is not provided until the approval is obtained.

However, this matter is taught by Dabney receiving the new edited content for approval and receiving a notification for the content being approved before dissemination (column 5 lines 31-43 and column 15 lines 26-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow Bezo's teaching to include the feature of generating workflow notification to request an approval of the updated version of the Web page, wherein the updated version of the Web page is not provided until the approval is obtained as taught by Dabney for ensuring the quality of the edited content.

5. Claims 6, 13 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bezo et al., U. S. Patent 6,029,141 in view of Dabney et al., U. S. Patent 6,643,663 in further view of Immerman et al., U. S. Patent 6,785,721.

As to claims 6, 13 and 20, Bezo modified by Dabney teaches submitting log in information to Web page as discussed above. Bezo modified by Dabney does not specifically teach submitting a first log in information to obtain a first privilege level for editing the Web page and submitting a second log in information to obtain a second

Art Unit: 3621

privilege level for editing the Web page, wherein the second privilege level is higher than the first privilege level for modifying a greater number of items of the Web page than the first privilege level. However, this matter is taught by Immerman as an access control list that specifies the level of access users and servers, and the administrator can specify an access level, access level privileges for each user name and server name (column 19 line 66 – column 20 line 21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the teaching of Bezo modified by Dabney to include multiple privilege levels of access for securely controlling the content of the Web page.

Inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Cheung whose telephone number is (571)-272-6705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday from 10:00 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell, can be reached on (571) 272-6712.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should

Art Unit: 3621

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceedings is assigned are as follows:

(571) 273-8300 (Official Communications; including After Final
Communications labeled "BOX AF")
(571) 273-6705 (Draft Communications)

Mary Cheung
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3621
December 9, 2005



**MARY D. CHEUNG
PRIMARY EXAMINER**